

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Chief, Materials Division

DATE: 9 May 1958

THRU : Chief, Analysis Division *WLF*

FROM : Chief, USSR Branch

SUBJECT: Review of Proposed Sample of Mining Machinery Items for
Machinery Ruble-Dollar Ratio Study

25X1A5a1

25X1A5a1 1. Attached, for your retention, are two copies of a
25X1A5a1 proposed sample of mining machinery items prepared [redacted] 25X1A5a1
25X1A5a1 [redacted] as part of a study of 1955 ruble-dollar ratios for
machinery and equipment items being conducted under an external
research contract from the Agency [redacted]
[redacted] has prepared the Soviet side of the mining machinery sample, [redacted] is shortly
to begin work on the U.S. portion of the sample (namely, the
comparable U.S. models, their detailed specifications, and their
dollar prices).

25X1A5a1 2. In order to provide [redacted] with the proper guidance,
it will be appreciated if the appropriate branches in your Division
will review this sample and furnish us their comments.

a. These comments should include their views as to
(1) the representativeness of items selected for the sample,
(2) important items missing from the sample which they
believe should be included, (3) the adequacy of the Soviet
specifications as a basis for selecting comparable U.S.
items, and (4) U.S. models of machinery which are known to
be direct copies of or are directly comparable to the
Soviet models.

b. Where additional items should be included in the
sample, please furnish Soviet specifications and ruble
prices or indicate sources where this information may be
found. References to any studies containing comparisons
of Soviet and U.S. mining machinery would also be appreciated.

c. Comments should appear in an unclassified
attachment which can be forwarded directly [redacted] 25X1A5a1
Please furnish two extra carbon copies of the comments for
this purpose. Unclassified source references to studies
containing comparisons of Soviet and U.S. mining machinery
should be included in the attachment containing the comments.
Classified source reference should appear in a separate attachment.


~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

- 2 -


3. It would be appreciated if these comments could be received in D/A by 16 May 1958.

25X1A9a



25X1A5a1

Attachment:

 proposed sample of mining machinery items.

Distribution:


Original & 2 - Addressee

1 - D/A

2 - A/U

2^{FR}

25X1A9a

D/A/U/RR:  :ir/3366(9 May 1958)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~